# SunGuide<sup>SM</sup> C2C Coordination



# Tuesday, August 17, 2004, 2:30 PM to 4:30 PM EDT Ft. Lauderdale, District 4



#### **CDRL 1-8.2.9**

#### **Attendees:**

Liang Hsia, FDOT Robert Heller, SwRI

David Chang, PBS&J Meredith Moczygemba, SwRI John Bonds, PBS&J Walt Townsend, ITS-Siemens

Dan Baxter, PBF Gregory Floyd, PBF

Mohammed Hadi, PBS&J Steve Dellenback, SwRI (phone) Frank Deasy, PBF (phone) Nick Adams, FDOT (phone)

#### **Subject:** C2C Coordination Meeting for SunGuide

#### **Item #1 – Goals for connecting TMCs**

- Sharing realtime data at the local, regional, and statewide levels
- Roadside Device Sharing capability
- Backup control of Primary Centers
- Configuration management (inventory)
- Enhancements:
  - o Major incident management
  - o Hurricane evacuations and emergencies
  - o TMC and network security
- Local and regional requirements
  - o Traveler, transit, weather, data warehousing, incident management, video, and security support information
- Statewide ITS Architecture (SITSA) used as the basis for Center categorization

#### Item #2 – How can we connect TMCs?

- Internet, leased facilities, dedicated wireless and wireline, and hybrid networks
- Network topologies are under development
- Applying Florida DOTs Statewide microwave network

#### <u>Item #3 – C2C LINK Network/Framework</u>

- Every "LINK" has two distinct functions:
  - Data link function deploy "System to System" connections (Web Services Port 80) to support SunGuide's XML utilities including status and command and control

#### SunGuide<sup>SM</sup> C2C Coordination Meeting August 17, 2004 2:30 a.m. – 4:30 p.m.

- SwRI questioned what band width provided for the data link layer –
  Dan claims that the width is essentially the equivalent of 12 T-1 circuits on a Gigabit Ethernet really on a link-by-link basis
- Video link function "Switch to Switch" connections for IP Addressable MPEG 2 & 4 Video Streams
  - SwRI questioned what kind of sizing bandwidth is used for this function – PBF's answer: 1.5 and 4 Megabits per second for MPEG 2 and MPEG 4, respectively
  - Dan claims PBF recommends MPEG 2; documentation will be updated to correct this if necessary
- Priority implications
  - o Priority One Regional TMC connections
  - o Priority Two Adjacent District RTMCs in Corridors
  - o Priority Three Statewide Connectivity
- Network topologies under development
  - o Using an open source application used in academia: NS2
  - Pilot Project purpose was to share Daytona Devices for special events and demonstrate hybrid fiber/microwave connectivity

#### Item #4 – IP Video Codec

- The Camera Chameleon operation is not a solution; need to suggest a recommended encoder/decoder solution for the Districts
- To resolve District differences, need to accomplish statewide objective by providing a migration plan
- Walt explains that Districts will also need to trans-code data when not over MPEG 2 and MPEG 4, e.g. microwave

#### <u>Item #5 – Video Stream with C2C</u>

- SwRI suggests that "all" should not be used when discussing Barco's compatibility with encoders/decoders; instead specifically list the
- Bonds feels like there is a disconnect with the paper submitted by PBF: SwRI would like to see a blended version of this paper in the next 2 months

### SunGuide<sup>SM</sup> C2C Coordination Meeting August 17, 2004 2:30 a.m. – 4:30 p.m.

## **ACTION ITEMS**

## (NOTE: THESE WILL NOT BE TRACKED BY THE SUNGUIDE DEVELOPERS)

Number	Responsible	Text	<b>Due Date</b>
	PBF	Post C2C coordination documents to website,	
		and/or distribute them via email	
	PBF/SwRI	Ensure recommendations are in sync with	
		SunGuide development	
	PBF	Correct documentation to recommend MPEG 2	
		instead of MPEG 4	
	Dan Baxter	Write an blended issue document, building on	
		SwRI's research on video codec compatibilty	Within the next 2
		before a procurement is made (Steve and Walt	months
		will help in this effort)	